UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,191	01/04/2005	Yelena Shulepova	NL 020613	7687
	7590 07/25/2007 LLECTUAL PROPERT	'Y & STANDARDS	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001		PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2872	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/520,191	SHULEPOVA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joshua L. Pritchett	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	<u>ne 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-16</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 January 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	M-)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	Pate					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/07</u> .	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ratent Application			

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Request for Continued Examination and Amendment filed June 11, 2007. Claims 1 and 11 have been amended as requested by the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka (US 5,550,657) in view of Hattori (JP 02-221829).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Tanaka teaches an optical lens component comprising a central lens element (23) having an optical axis and located centrally of a circumjacent mounting portion (Fig. 3) having spaced parallel surfaces that extend perpendicularly to the optical axis (interfaces between 23 and 27 and 23 and 26), at least one of the spaced parallel surfaces being provided with a non-random light-scattering structure (26) for coupling out light entering the mounting portion (Fig. 3). Tanaka teaches the light absorbing means (22a) are provided adjacent at least one non-random light-scattering structure (Fig. 3; col. 7 lines 66-67). Tanaka lacks

Page 3

reference to the light absorbing means adjacent the non-random light-scattering structure.

Hattori teaches the use of a light absorbing means adjacent the light scattering structure

(abstract). The clad layer of Hattori provides both light absorption and light scattering. It would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have

the Tanaka invention include the light absorbing means adjacent the light scattering structure as

taught by Hattori for the purpose of achieve even brightness for a projected image. The

combination of Tanaka and Hattori teach all the claimed structural limitations and therefore

would be capable of performing all the claimed functional limitations. Tanaka and Hattori teach

the claimed configuration of the absorbing means and the light scattering means and therefore

would be able to perform the claimed function of reducing ghosting of images displayed on the

screen.

Regarding claims 2 and 12, Tanaka teaches the non-random light-scattering structure comprises indentations having parallel light-scattering surfaces with predetermined inclinations relative to the spaced parallel surfaces (Fig. 3).

Regarding claims 3 and 13, Tanaka teaches the indentations comprise at least one array of concentric circular indentations centered on the optical axis of the lens element (Fig. 25). Examiner interprets "concentric circular indentations" to be similar to those shown in Figs. 3-4 of the current application, since these are the only drawings that show views of the indentations. The indentations of Fig. 25 in Tanaka resemble the indentations shown in Figs. 3-4 of current application.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,191

Art Unit: 2872

Page 4

Regarding claims 4 and 14, Tanaka teaches the indentations in at lest one array have triangularly shaped cross sections in a plane in which the optical axis of the lens is located (Fig. 3).

Regarding claims 5 and 15, Tanaka teaches all indentations have identically shaped cross sections in at least one array (Fig. 3).

Regarding claims 6 and 16, Tanaka teaches the triangular shape is asymmetrical relative to a local perpendicular (Fig. 25).

Regarding claim 7, Tanaka teaches the triangular shape comprises a right angled triangle having one leg lying in the plane of the respective spaces parallel surface of the mounting portion, the second leg being disposed on the side of the triangle facing the central axis (Fig. 25).

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka (US 5,550,657) in view of Hattori (JP 02-221829) as applied to claim 1 above further in view of Ohkawa (US 6,568,820).

Tanaka in combination with Hattori teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to molding. Ohkawa teaches the use of molding to create the an optical lens element (col. 6 lines 11-24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Tanaka in combination with Hattori invention created by molding as taught by Ohkawa for the purpose of precise and efficient reproduction of the light-scattering structure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 11, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the prior art fails to teach or suggest reducing ghosting of images displayed on the screen. MPEP 2114 states that an apparatus claim must overcome the prior art based on structural limitations. The newly added claim language is functional in nature and therefore is not capable of overcoming the prior art. The claim language includes no limitations stating how the light absorbing means is configured other than its positional relationship with the light scattering structure. The prior art teaches the positional relationship and therefore meets all the structural requirements based on the claim language to reduce ghosting of images displayed on the screen.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L. Pritchett whose telephone number is 571-272-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephone B. Allen can be reached on 571-272-2434. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,191

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joshua L Pritchett

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 2872